

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

IN	NSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)					
		RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS	COMPLAINT NO:		
ΑI	AIRS ID#: 0112051 DATE: <u>5/14/13</u> ARRIVE: <u>01:30</u> DEPART: <u>03:30</u>					
FA	CILITY NAME: CE	MEX-PEMBROKE PINES	READY-MIX			
FA	FACILITY LOCATION: 17301 PINES BLVD					
		PEMBROKE PINE	S 33029-1508			
CC	OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER* Email: CONTACT NAME: LUCY CARDENAS* Email: lucy.cardenas@cemex.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/9/2012 / 8/9/2017 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: (954)431-7655 Mobile: (786)295-3739					
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
	Name(s) of facility rep Brief Notes:	resentative(s):	<u> </u>		,	only one ach question)
2.	Is the Authorized Repr If no, who is?:	esentative still JEFFREY P	ORTER*?		X Yes	□No
3.		ility provide an administrat till LUCY CARDENAS*?				
4.		ting VE test(s) during today				_

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-split silo compart. #1, w/cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 06/21/2013 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	□ No□ No□ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	ļ
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Yes	☐ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? X Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	_
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	□ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
particulate matter from stock piles? Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🗵 Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	∐ No □ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-silo (flyash/slag) w/baghouse dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 06/21/2012 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Ruie 02-290.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Farking Areas, Stock Files, and Talus		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by: 	ed	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the f		
 paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	⊠ Yes	☐ No
control emissions?	- Xes	☐ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	- Xes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	□ v	□ N-
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	∐ No □ No

Emissions Unit Section

<u>3 – CCB Plant-truck loadout w/central cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

The state of the s		
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		ļ
Date of last inspection: 06/21/2013 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
TART II. FIELD ODDER (ATTOMO - Ruic 02-270, 117(2), 1440.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop roints, Avaus, rarking Areas, Stock ries, and rarus		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by:	:d	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the fo	allowing	ļ
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		□ No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to	□ 1 €8	□ NO
control emissions?	⊠ v _{os}	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	168	☐ NO
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	V	□ Na
	res	∐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	⊠ 3 7	□ NT.
particulate matter from stock piles?	ĭ Yes	∐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	ĭ Yes	∐ No
2. If any analysis are not being tolors		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	□ V	□ Na
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	☐ Yes	∐ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	⊥ Yes	∐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

Emissions Unit Section
8 –CCB Plant-split silo compart. #2, w/cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	
1. Date of last inspection: 06/21/2012 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	s 🔲 No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and	
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following	·•
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? \(\sum \) Yes	s 🗌 No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	s
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	_
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	s \square No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	_
particulate matter from stock piles? 🖂 Yes	s 🗌 No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? 🗵 Yes	s 🗌 No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:	
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	

Emissions Unit Section
9 -CCB Plant-weigh hopper/batcher, w/baghouse batcher vent subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	·	
Date of last inspection: 06/21/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by:	ed	
Management of mode modeling come stock wiles and condensate which shall include one or more of the f	-11	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the f 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 		☐ No
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	- X Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the		
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	- X Yes	☐ No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	⊠ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	☐ Yes	☐ No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes	☐ No
c. What caused the problem(s) (if known):		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check v box for each	only one h question)
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propared 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + 1.3 MM gal propared 1.5 MM	e/yr	00?
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?		☐ No
GENERAL CONDITIONS (check ☑ only one box for each question)			
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	Yes	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?		☐ No
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	. Nes	□ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary □; or consisting or consisting or consisting or consisting or consistin	stationary and relocatable	(check 🗹 box for each	•
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following question 2.)			
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		- Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific 	prior to changing location?cation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6	5)]	□ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busing c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificato the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five	ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	□ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine pur If YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it co-located at the permitted facility?	in that separate permit: pose (i.e, there is no repeated usage was)?	□ No□ No□ No
CHANGES (check ☑ only one box for each question) Administrative Changes:			
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? Yes No If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? Yes No No New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: Since the last registration form submittal has there been 			□ No □ No
b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subset. d. A change in ownership?	tantially different?	Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?		mitted - Yes	☐ No
C.Pitters			
	5/14/2013		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	5/14/2013 Date of Inspection	·	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)			
Inspector's Name (Please Print) Inspector's Signature	Date of Inspection	pection	